

SOGANG-HEP 260/99

August 9 , 1999

Consistent Superalgebraic Truncations from $D=5$, $N=5$ Supergravity

Chang-Ho Kim^a

*Department of Physics and Basic Science Research Institute, Seonam University,
Namwon, Chonbuk 590-711, Korea*

Young-Jai Park^b

*Department of Physics and Basic Science Research Institute, Sogang University,
C.P.O. Box 1142, Seoul 100-611, Korea*

ABSTRACT

We study a novel five-dimensional, $N=5$ supergravity in the context of Lie superalgebra $SU(5/2)$. The possible successive superalgebraic truncations from $N=5$ theory to the lower supersymmetric $N=4,3,2$, and 1 supergravity theories are systematically analyzed as a sub-superalgebraic chain of $SU(5/2) \supset SU(4/2) \supset SU(3/2) \supset SU(2/2) \supset SU(1/2)$ by using the Kac-Dynkin weight techniques.

PACS Nos: 04.65.+e, 11.30.Pb

^a E-mail address : chkim@tiger.seonam.ac.kr

^b E-mail address : yjpark@ccs.sogang.ac.kr

I. Introduction

There have been considerable interests in superalgebras which are relevant to many supersymmetric theories.^{1,2} Supersymmetric extensions of Poincaré algebra in arbitrary dimensional space-time were reviewed, and their representations (reps) for the supermultiplets of all known supergravity theories were extensively searched by Strathdee.³ This work has been an extremely useful guideline for studying supersymmetric theories. Cremmer⁴ developed the complicated method for consistent truncations by choosing a particular rep of real symplectic metric in order to derive $N=6,4,2$ supergravities from $N=8$ in five dimensions. Recently, M and F theories⁵ have been also tackled from the point of view of the general properties of the superalgebra.⁶

On the other hand, during last ten years, we have shown that superalgebras allow a more systematic analysis for finding the supermultiplets^{7,8} of several supergravity and superstring theories by using the Kac-Dynkin weight techniques of $SU(m/n)$ Lie superalgebra.⁹ In particular, we have shown that the massless reps of supermultiplets of maximal supergravity theories^{10,11} belong to only one irreducible representation (irrep) of the $SU(8/1)$ superalgebra.¹² Recently, we have shown that all possible successive superalgebraic truncations from four-dimensional maximal $N=8$ supergravity theory to lower supersymmetric ones are systematically realised as sub-superalgebra chains of $SU(8/1)$ superalgebra¹³ by using projection matrices¹⁴. Very recently, we have shown that the successive superalgebraic truncations from $D=10$, $N=2$ chiral supergravity¹⁰ to possible lower dimensional nonmaximal theories can be easily realized as sub-superalgebra chains of $SU(8/1)$ Lie superalgebra.¹⁵

In this paper, we show that the successive superalgebraic truncations from the novel $D=5$, $N=5$ supergravity to possible lower dimensional theories can be systematically realized as sub-superalgebra chains of $SU(5/2)$ Lie superalgebra. In Sec. II, we briefly recapitulate the mathematical structure of the $SU(5/2)$ superalgebra related to $D=5$, $N=5$ supergravity. Through the introduction of atypical representations of $SU(5/2)$ superalgebra, we newly find the supermultiplets of $D=5$, $N=5$ supergravity theory. Up to now, no one mentions the existence of one irrep of $SU(5/2)$ supermultiplets describing this theory. In Sec. III, we explicitly show that supermultiplets of possible lower supersymmetric supergravity theories can be systematically obtained from $SU(5/2)$

by successive superalgebraic dimensional reductions and truncations. The last section contains conclusion.

II. Kac-Dynkin Structure of $SU(5/2)$ Superalgebra

The Kac-Dynkin diagram of the $SU(5/2)$ Lie superalgebra is

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 w_1 & w_2 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 & w_6 \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \otimes & \circ
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where the set $(w_1 \ w_2 \ w_3 \ w_4 \ w_5 \ w_6)$ determines the highest-highest weight vector of an irrep.^{1,2} Each weight component w_i ($i \neq 5$) of the highest-highest weight vector should be a nonnegative integer, while w_5 could be any *complex* number. The first four white nodes and the last node form $SU(5) \otimes SU(2)$ bosonic subalgebra, where $SU(2)$ is isomorphic to $SO(3)$ describing the massless modes of five dimensional space-time symmetry. The grey node is responsible to $U(1)$ supersymmetric generator.

The corresponding graded Cartan matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2
 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Let the positive and negative simple even roots of $SU(5) \otimes SU(2)$ bosonic subalgebra be $\alpha_i^\pm$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$), and let the positive and negative simple odd roots be $\beta_5^{5\pm}$. Other odd roots are easily obtained by the commutation relations such as

$$\beta_5^{i\pm} = [\alpha_i^\pm, \beta_5^{i+1\pm}], \quad \beta_6^{i\pm} = [\beta_5^{i\pm}, \alpha_6^\pm], \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{3}$$

Then the action by an odd root $\beta_j^{i\pm}$ alternates a bosonic (fermionic) floor with a fermionic (bosonic) one.

The fundamental rep of $SU(5/2)$ is $(1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0)$, and it has the substructure of $[(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_B \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{5})_F]$ in the basis of the $SU(5) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ bosonic subalgebra, where the subscripts B and F stand for bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom, respectively, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0) \\
| \text{ground} > \quad (1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0) &= (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_B \\
& \quad \downarrow \beta_5^{1-} \\
| \text{1st} > \quad (0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 1) &= (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{5})_F.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The $U(1)$ supercharge generator is $Diag(2, 2, 2, 2, 5, 5)$ to satisfy the supertraceless condition. The complex conjugate rep of the fundamental rep is $(0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1) = [(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -\mathbf{5})_F \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{2})_B]$ such as

$$\begin{aligned}
& (0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1) \\
| \text{ground} > \quad (0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1) &= (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -\mathbf{5})_F \\
& \quad \downarrow \beta_6^{5-} \\
| \text{1st} > \quad (0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ -1\ 0) &= (\bar{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{2})_B.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The even and odd roots consist of the adjoint rep $(1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1)$, which is obtained by the tensor product of the reps in Eqs.(4) and (5),

$$(1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0) \otimes (0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1) = (1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1) \oplus (0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0), \tag{6}$$

as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1) \\
| \text{gnd} > \quad (1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1) &= \beta_j^{i+} \\
| \text{1st} > \quad (1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0) &= SU(5) \\
& \quad (0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 2) = SU(2) \\
& \quad (0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0) = U(1) \\
| \text{2nd} > \quad (0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1) &= \beta_j^{i-}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In general, the irreps of $SU(m/n)$ are divided into two types, which are *typical* and *atypical*.^{1,9} All atypical reps of $SU(5/2)$ are characterized by the fifth weight component w_5 of the highest-highest weight. The atypicality condition⁹ is given by

$$w_5 = \sum_{k=6}^j w_k - \sum_{k=i}^4 w_k - 10 + i + j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 5, \quad 5 \leq j \leq 6. \quad (8)$$

An atypical rep is obtained by terminating some odd root strings in a full weight system when w_5 satisfies the relation in Eq.(8) for specific i 's and j 's. Thus the atypical reps generally have not equal bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom.

On the other hand, all the typical reps of $SU(5/2)$ consist of eleven floors, and have equal bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom. The lowest dimensional typical rep is $(0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ w_5 \ 0) = [\mathbf{512}_B \oplus \mathbf{512}_F]$ for $w_5 \neq -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1$. We take $w_5 = -\frac{3}{2}$ to make a real rep and normalize the $U(1)$ supercharges by 3 such as

floor	SU(5/2)	SU(5) $\otimes$ SU(2) $\otimes$ U(1)	
gnd >	(0 0 0 0 $-\frac{3}{2}$ 0)	(0 0 0 0)(0)(-5)	
1st >	(0 0 0 1 $-\frac{3}{2}$ 1)	(0 0 0 1)(1)(-4)	
2nd >	(0 0 1 0 $-\frac{1}{2}$ 2) (0 0 0 2 $-\frac{5}{2}$ 0)	(0 0 1 0)(2)(-3) (0 0 0 2)(0)(-3)	
3rd >	(0 1 0 0 $+\frac{1}{2}$ 3) (0 0 1 1 $-\frac{3}{2}$ 1)	(0 1 0 0)(3)(-2) (0 0 1 1)(1)(-2)	
4th >	(1 0 0 0 $+\frac{3}{2}$ 4) (0 1 0 1 $-\frac{1}{2}$ 2) (0 0 2 0 $-\frac{3}{2}$ 0)	(1 0 0 0)(4)(-1) (0 1 0 1)(2)(-1) (0 0 2 0)(0)(-1)	
5th >	(0 0 0 0 $+\frac{5}{2}$ 5) (1 0 0 1 $+\frac{1}{2}$ 3) (0 1 1 0 $-\frac{1}{2}$ 1)	(0 0 0 0)(5)(0) (1 0 0 1)(3)(0) (0 1 1 0)(1)(0)	(9)
6th >	(0 0 0 1 $+\frac{3}{2}$ 4) (1 0 1 0 $+\frac{1}{2}$ 2) (0 2 0 0 $-\frac{1}{2}$ 0)	(0 0 0 1)(4)(+1) (1 0 1 0)(2)(+1) (0 2 0 0)(0)(+1)	
7th >	(0 0 1 0 $+\frac{3}{2}$ 3) (1 1 0 0 $+\frac{1}{2}$ 1)	(0 0 1 0)(3)(+2) (1 1 0 0)(1)(+2)	
8th >	(0 1 0 0 $+\frac{3}{2}$ 2) (2 0 0 0 $+\frac{1}{2}$ 0)	(0 1 0 0)(2)(+3) (2 0 0 0)(0)(+3)	
9th >	(1 0 0 0 $+\frac{3}{2}$ 1)	(1 0 0 0)(1)(+4)	
10th >	(0 0 0 0 $+\frac{3}{2}$ 0)	(0 0 0 0)(0)(+5).	

As you know, since the maximum dimension of supermultiplets of the consistent supergravity theories is $[\mathbf{128}_B \oplus \mathbf{128}_F]$, it is impossible to accommodate the supermultiplets of five-dimensional theory in terms of the typical irrep. Thus we should search atypical cases having lower dimensions in contrast to the typical ones, and have found the atypical reps of the type $(0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ w_6)$ for $w_6 \geq 4$ are $[8(2w_6 - 3)_B \oplus 8(2w_6 - 3)_F]$

such as

floor	SU(5/2)	SU(5)⊗SU(2)	dimension
ground >	(0 0 0 0 0 w_6)	(0 0 0 0)(w_6)	$w_6 + 1$
1st >	(0 0 0 1 -1 $w_6 - 1$)	(0 0 0 1)($w_6 - 1$)	$4w_6$
2nd >	(0 0 1 0 -1 $w_6 - 2$)	(0 0 1 0)($w_6 - 2$)	$6(w_6 - 1)$
3rd >	(0 1 0 0 -1 $w_6 - 3$)	(0 1 0 0)($w_6 - 3$)	$10(w_6 - 2)$
4th >	(1 0 0 0 -1 $w_6 - 4$)	(1 0 0 0)($w_6 - 4$)	$5(w_6 - 3)$
5th >	(0 0 0 0 -1 $w_6 - 5$)	(0 0 0 0)($w_6 - 5$)	$w_6 - 4$

(10)

Taking $w_6 = 4$, we get one graviton field in Eq.(10), since w_6 denotes the $SU(2) \approx SO(3)$ weight component. The β_6^{1-} string is terminated so that the fifth floor is terminated from the weight system in Eq.(10). In fact, the only atypical rep containing the desired novel $D = 5$, $N = 5$ supergravity multiplets is $(0 0 0 0 0 4) = [40_B \oplus 40_F]$ such as

floor	SU(5/2)	SU(5)⊗SU(2)	field
ground >	(0 0 0 0 0 4)	(0 0 0 0)(4)	e_μ^a
1st >	(0 0 0 1 -1 3)	(0 0 0 1)(3)	$5\Psi_\mu$
2nd >	(0 0 1 0 -1 2)	(0 0 1 0)(2)	$10A_\mu$
3rd >	(0 1 0 0 -1 1)	(0 1 0 0)(1)	10λ
4th >	(1 0 0 0 -1 0)	(1 0 0 0)(0)	5ϕ

(11)

Although the reps $(0 0 0 0 0 w_6)$ for $w_6 \geq 5$ have equal bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom, they contain higher spin states, which are higher than spin-2 ones, leading to inconsistent theories. On the other hand, the atypical reps for $w_6 \leq 3$ are $(0 0 0 0 0 3) = [25_B \oplus 24_F]$ containing a gravitino, $(0 0 0 0 0 2) = [13_B \oplus 10_F]$ having a Yang-Mills field, and $(0 0 0 0 0 1) = [5_B \oplus 2_F]$ including a matter field. Note that since all these

reps have larger bosonic degrees of freedom than fermionic ones, it is impossible to construct the $D=5$, $N=5$ Yang-Mills theory with any combination of these reps.

III. Successive Possible Superalgebraic Truncations from $N=5$ to $N=4,3,2,1$

3.1 $D=5$, $N=4$ Reduction

Now, let us consider the possible superalgebraic truncations from the $D = 5$, $N = 5$ to $D = 5$, $N = 4, 3, 2, 1$ supergravities. One must carefully remove extra gravitino multiplets in a consistent manner in order to generate the existence of the $N = 4, 3, 2, 1$ theories. The massless modes of the supermultiplets are in the rep space of $SU(N) \otimes SU(2) \subset SU(N/2)$ supersymmetry. The branching rules $SU(5/2) \longrightarrow SU(4/2) \longrightarrow SU(3/2) \longrightarrow SU(2/2) \longrightarrow SU(1/2)$ are systematically attained by the successive removing of the first nodes from the Kac-Dynkin diagrams.

A branching rule of $SU(5/2) \longrightarrow SU(4/2)$ for the rep in Eq.(11) is

$$(0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 4) \longrightarrow (0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 4) \oplus (0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 3) \quad (12)$$

Then, the rep $(0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 4) = [2\mathbf{4}_B \oplus 2\mathbf{4}_F]$ of $SU(4/2)$ is just the well-known graviton multiplet of $D = 5$, $N = 4$ supergravity³ as follows

floor	$SU(4/2)$	$SU(4) \otimes SU(2)$	field
$ \text{ground} >$	$(0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 4)$	$(0\ 0\ 0)(4)$	e_μ^a
$ \text{1st} >$	$(0\ 0\ 1\ -1\ 3)$	$(0\ 0\ 1)(3)$	$4\Psi_\mu$
$ \text{2nd} >$	$(0\ 1\ 0\ -1\ 2)$	$(0\ 1\ 0)(2)$	$6A_\mu$
$ \text{3rd} >$	$(1\ 0\ 0\ -1\ 1)$	$(1\ 0\ 0)(1)$	4λ
$ \text{4th} >$	$(0\ 0\ 0\ -1\ 0)$	$(0\ 0\ 0)(0)$	ϕ

(13)

Note that the remaining rep $(0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 3) = [\mathbf{16}_B + \mathbf{16}_F]$ makes an extra gravitino multiplet, which should be removed for consistency in the $D = 5$, $N = 4$ theory. On the other hand, the atypical reps for $w_6 \leq 2$ are given by $(0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 2) = [\mathbf{9}_B \oplus \mathbf{8}_F]$, and $(0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1) = [\mathbf{4}_B \oplus \mathbf{2}_F]$.

3.2 $D=5$, $N=3$ Reduction

The massless modes of supermultiplets of $D = 5$, $N = 3$ are described in the rep space of $SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \subset SU(3/2)$ superalgebra. The branching rule of $SU(4/2) \longrightarrow SU(3/2)$ is

$$(0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 4) \longrightarrow (0\ 0\ 0\ 4) \oplus (0\ 0\ 0\ 3). \quad (14)$$

Then, the rep $(0\ 0\ 0\ 4) = [\mathbf{14}_B + \mathbf{14}_F]$ of $SU(3/2)$ is identified to the graviton multiplets of $D = 5$, $N = 3$ supergravity as follows

floor	$SU(3/2)$	$SU(3) \otimes SU(2)$	field	
$ \text{ground} >$	$(0\ 0\ 0\ 4)$	$(0\ 0)(4)$	e_μ^a	
$ \text{1st} >$	$(0\ 1\ -1\ 3)$	$(0\ 1)(3)$	$3\Psi_\mu$	(15)
$ \text{2nd} >$	$(1\ 0\ -1\ 2)$	$(1\ 0)(2)$	$3A_\mu$	
$ \text{3rd} >$	$(0\ 0\ -1\ 1)$	$(0\ 0)(1)$	λ	

The other rep $(0\ 0\ 0\ 3) = [\mathbf{10}_B + \mathbf{10}_F]$ makes an extra gravitino multiplet, which should be removed for consistency in the $D = 5$, $N = 3$ theory.

On the other hand, in contrast to the previous cases, at this level we can introduce an interesting atypical rep $(0\ 0\ 0\ 2) = [\mathbf{6}_B + \mathbf{6}_F]$ describing a desired pure Yang-Mills multiplets such as

floor	SU(3/2)	SU(3)⊗SU(2)	field
ground >	(0 0 0 2)	(0 0)(2)	A_μ
1st >	(0 1 -1 1)	(0 1)(1)	3λ
2nd >	(1 0 -1 0)	(1 0)(0)	3ϕ

(16)

The rest atypical rep (0 0 0 1) is given by $[\mathbf{3}_B \oplus \mathbf{2}_F]$.

3.3 $D=5$, $N=2$ Reduction

The massless modes of supermultiplets of $D = 5$, $N = 2$ are in $SU(2) \otimes SU(2) \subset SU(2/2)$. The branching rule of $SU(3/2) \longrightarrow SU(2/2)$ is

$$(0\ 0\ 0\ 4) \longrightarrow (0\ 0\ 4) \oplus (0\ 0\ 3), \quad (0\ 0\ 0\ 2) \longrightarrow (0\ 0\ 2) \oplus (0\ 0\ 1). \quad (17)$$

Then, the reps $(0\ 0\ 4) = [\mathbf{8}_B + \mathbf{8}_F]$, $(0\ 0\ 2) = [\mathbf{4}_B + \mathbf{4}_F]$, and $(0\ 0\ 1) = [\mathbf{2}_B + \mathbf{2}_F]$ of $SU(2/2)$ is graviton multiplets, Yang-Mills multiplets, and matter multiplets of $D = 5$, $N = 2$ supergravity, respectively, as follows;

floor	SU(2/2)	SU(2)⊗SU(2)	field
ground >	(0 0 4)	(0)(4)	e_μ^a
1st >	(1 -1 3)	(1)(3)	$2\Psi_\mu$
2nd >	(0 -1 2)	(0)(2)	A_μ

(18)

floor	SU(2/2)	SU(2)⊗SU(2)	field
ground >	(0 0 2)	(0)(2)	A_μ
1st >	(1 -1 1)	(1)(1)	2λ
2nd >	(0 -1 0)	(0)(0)	ϕ

(19)

floor	SU(2/2)	SU(2)⊗SU(2)	field
ground >	(0 0 1)	(0)(1)	λ
1st >	(1 -1 0)	(1)(0)	2φ

(20)

Note that the rep $(0\ 0\ 3) = [\mathbf{6}_B + \mathbf{6}_F]$ denotes an extra gravitino multiplet, which should be removed for consistency in the $D = 5$, $N = 2$ theory.

3.4 $D=5$, $N=1$ Reduction

The massless modes of supermultiplets of $D = 5$, $N = 1$ are in $U(1) \otimes SU(2) \subset SU(1/2)$ superalgebra. The branching rule of $SU(2/2) \longrightarrow SU(1/2)$ is

$$(0\ 0\ 4) \longrightarrow (0\ 4) \oplus (0\ 3), (0\ 0\ 2) \longrightarrow (0\ 2) \oplus (0\ 1), (0\ 0\ 1) \longrightarrow (0\ 1) \oplus (0\ 0). \quad (21)$$

Then, the rep $(0\ 4) = [\mathbf{5}_B \oplus \mathbf{4}_F]$ of $SU(1/2)$ may play a role of the graviton multiplet of $D = 5$, $N = 1$ supergravity due to the existence of graviton as follows

floor	SU(1/2)	SU(2)	field
ground >	(0 4)	(4)	e_μ^a
1st >	(-1 3)	(3)	Ψ_μ

(22)

However, since this rep has asymmetry between bosons and fermions, we should couple this graviton multiplet to the following asymmetric matter one $(0\ 1) = [\mathbf{1}_B \oplus \mathbf{2}_F]$:

floor	SU(1/2)	SU(2)	field
ground >	(0 1)	(1)	λ
1st >	(-1 0)	(0)	φ

(23)

Then, the coupled reps $(0\ 4) \oplus (0\ 1) = [\mathbf{6}_B \oplus \mathbf{6}_F]$ have same bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom which make consistent graviton multiplets. Note that the rest rep $(0\ 3) = [\mathbf{3}_B + \mathbf{4}_F]$ makes an extra gravitino multiplet, which should be removed for consistency in the $D = 5$, $N = 1$ theory. On the other hand, the contents of the atypical rep $(0\ 2) = [\mathbf{3}_B \oplus \mathbf{2}_F]$ are given by

floor	SU(1/2)	SU(2)	field	
ground >	(0 2)	(2)	A_μ	(24)
1st >	(-1 1)	(1)	λ	

Note that in contrast to the $D=5$, $N=5$ case, we can construct the desired $D=5$, $N=1$ Yang-Mills theory by using the coupled reps $(0\ 2) \oplus (0\ 1) = [\mathbf{4}_B \oplus \mathbf{4}_F]$ because $(0\ 1)$ rep in Eq.(23) has larger fermionic degrees of freedom than bosonic ones.

IV. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have newly studied a novel supermultiplets of $D=5$, $N=5$ supergravity in the context of $SU(5/2)$ superalgebra. We have obtained possible regular maximal branching patterns in terms of Kac-Dynkin weight techniques. Then, we have shown that the possible superalgebraic truncations from the $D=5$, $N=5$ supergravity theory to the $D=5$, $N=4,3,2,1$ theories can be systematically realized as sub-superalgebra chains of the $SU(5/2)$ superalgebra. As results, we have explicitly identified the supermultiplets of the possible relevant lower supersymmetric theories, which have been classified in terms of super-Poincaré algebra by Strathdee, with irreps of $SU(N/2)$ superalgebra by using the systematic superalgebraic truncation method. Finally, since several authors^{16,17} have recently considered $D = 5$ supergravity theories¹⁸ through the compactification of M theory, we hope through further investigations that our superalgebraic branching method will provide a deeper understanding of the structure of the supersymmetric systems including the M and F theories.

Acknowledgments

The present study was supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Korea Research Foundation, Project No. 1998-015-D00074.

REFERENCES

1. V. Kac, Adv. in Math. **26**, 8 (1977); Commun. Math. Phys. **53**, 31 (1977).
2. Y.A. Gol'fand and E.P. Likhtman, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz. **13**, 452(1971)[JETP Lett. **13**,323(1971)]; A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B31**, 86 (1971); P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. **D3**, 2415 (1971); P. G. O. Freund and I. Kaplansky, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 228 (1976); S. Deser and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. **62B**, 335 (1976); D. Z. Freedman, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, and S. Ferrara, Phys. Rev. **D13**, 3214 (1976); Y. Ne'eman, Phys. Lett. **81B**, 190 (1979); F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 772 (1980); A.B. Balantekin, I. Bars, and F. Iachello, *ibid.* **47**, 19 (1981); P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rep. **68**, 189 (1981); M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B198**, 474 (1982); J. P. Hurni and B. Morel, J. Math. Phys. **24**, 157 (1983).
3. J. Strathdee, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A2**, 173 (1987).
4. E. Cremmer, in *Superspace and Supergravity*, edited by S. Hawking and M. Rocek, p.267 (Cambridge University Press, London, England, 1980).
5. J. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **B367**, 97 (1996); E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460**, 335 (1995); C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. **B469**, 403 (1996); J. Polchiski, "Tasi Lectures on D-branes", [hep-th/9611050](#). H.J. Boonstra, B. Peeters, and K. Skenderis, Nucl. Phys. **B533**, 127 (1998) J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998).
6. I. Bars, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 5203 (1996).
7. C. H. Kim, K. Y. Kim, W. S. l'Yi, Y. Kim, and Y. J. Park, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3**, 1005 (1988); C. H. Kim, Y. J. Park, K. Y. Kim, Y. Kim, and W. S. l'Yi, Phys. Rev. **D44**, 3169 (1991).
8. C. H. Kim, K. Y. Kim, Y. Kim, H. W. Lee, W. S. l'Yi, and Y. J. Park, Phys. Rev. **D40**, 1969 (1989).
9. C. H. Kim, K. Y. Kim, W. S. l'Yi, Y. Kim, and Y. J. Park, J. Math. Phys. **27**, 2009 (1986).

10. M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **122B**, 143 (1983).
11. J. H. Schwarz and P. C. West, Phys. Lett. **126B**, 301 (1983); P. Howe and P. C. West, Nucl. Phys. **B238**, 181 (1984).
12. C. H. Kim, K. Y. Kim, Y. Kim, and Y. J. Park, Phys. Rev. **D39**, 2967 (1989).
13. C. H. Kim, Y. J. Park, and Y. Kim, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A10**, 1929 (1995).
14. R. Slansky, Phys. Rep. **79**, 1 (1981); C. H. Kim, Y. J. Park, I. G. Koh, K. Y. Kim, and Y. Kim, Phys. Rev. **D27**, 1932 (1983).
15. C. H. Kim and Y. J. Park, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12**, 851 (1997).
16. A.C. Cadavid, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, and S. Ferrara, Phys. Lett. **B357**, 76 (1995).
17. S. Mizoguchi and N. Ohta, Phys. Lett. **B441**, 123 (1998); J. Ellis, Z. Lalak, and W. Pokorski, "Five-Dimensional Gauged Supergravity and Supersymmetry Breaking in M Theory", [hep-th/9811133](#).
18. A.H. Chamseddine and H. Nicolai, Phys. Lett. **B96**, 89 (1980); M. Günaydin, G. Sierra, and P.K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. **B253**, 573 (1985); G.W. Gibbons, G. Horowitz, and P.K. Townsend, Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, 297 (1995); A. Fujii and R. Kemmoku, " $D = 5$ Simple Supergravity on $AdS_2 \times S^3$ ", [hep-th/9903231](#).